

JEL Classification: M41, L2, D24**Amina Boufarh***,

University of Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4158-3815>
amina.boufarh@univ-oeb.dz

Nour El Houda Haddad,

University of Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7724-4108>
nourelhouda.haddad@univ-oeb.dz

*Corresponding author

EXPLORING LEAN ACCOUNTING: TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Received 29 March 2026; accepted 30 April 2026; published 01 May 2026

Abstract. *This paper provides a comprehensive review of the evolving landscape of lean accounting research, exploring current trends and future directions within the accounting and finance domain. Employing bibliometric analysis and content analysis of research publications sourced from the Scopus database, the study identifies key themes, methodological approaches, and theoretical foundations within the field. The analysis reveals growing interest in data-driven decision-making, lean implementation strategies, and the integration of lean accounting with other management tools. The research highlights the benefits of lean accounting, such as cost reduction, improved efficiency, enhanced decision-making, and increased transparency, while also acknowledging challenges like resistance to change and the need for standardized metrics. The study further explores the interplay between lean accounting and organizational culture, emphasizing the importance of change management and employee engagement for successful implementation. Future research avenues are identified, including the exploration of lean accounting in diverse industry sectors, its long-term impact on organizational sustainability, and its integration with emerging technologies like AI and blockchain. This research contributes to a deeper understanding of lean accounting's theoretical and practical implications, offering valuable insights for both academics and practitioners navigating the complexities of modern business environments.*

Keywords: *bibliometric analysis, future research directions, lean accounting, literature review, value stream accounting.*

Citation: Boufarh, A.; Haddad, N. El H. (2026). EXPLORING LEAN ACCOUNTING: TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS. Economics and Finance, Volume 14, Issue 1, 116. https://doi.org/10.51586/2754-6209.2026.14.1_116

Introduction

The business world keeps changing, and organizations have to change with it if they are to remain afloat. Traditional financial management approaches, while they used to be taken as basic, have a hard time standing their ground in light of such a dynamic environment. This led to a high degree of interest in lean accounting, a name given to the integration of lean manufacturing principles into management accounting practices, seamlessly. Traditional methods focus on reducing core costs, while lean accounting emphasizes value creation and urges organizations to cut waste in order to optimize processes so that they deliver actual value to customers.

Research has recently been done on lean accounting, and it was proved that it would be a game-changer to organizations in managing their finances and operations. Many reported benefits through various studies include cost reduction, increased efficiency, better decision-making, and transparency. Stenzel (2008) most importantly stipulated this fact with empirical data sourced from

studies by Woehrle and Abou-Shady (2010) and McVay et al. (2013). Further, many researchers demonstrated how the very application of lean approaches was most certainly to empower the corresponding cost-control techniques.

This growing interest in lean accounting has consequently meant that there is now an emerging scientific research body on its theoretical underpinning and practical application. Two main frameworks thus loom large in this respect: Lean Thinking and Value Stream Accounting. Assuming that the first theoretical framework of Baggaley and Maskell (2003), which provides the basis for accounting premised on agile philosophy, one assumes that the very essence of the philosophical cornerstone is based on the elimination of waste and maximization of value. This theoretical support complements the value stream accounting as presented by Baggaley and Maskell (2011) with pragmatic methodologies in the study and improvement of the value-producing activities in the organization.

But agile accounting has its drawbacks too. Some suggest that an overemphasis on cost cutting could be dangerously short-term focused and distract some managers from longer-term critical investments. However, others question its applicability to knowledge-based environments such as accounting, where the output is significantly different from the traditional manufacturing settings. In addition, most organizations still face the challenge of standardization in the metrics used to measure the success of implementing Lean Accounting.

Surely, lean accounting is drawing winds from a famous saying that explains why the pioneering researchers, led by Brian Maskell and Bruce Baggaley, laid the foundation in 2003 that others followed in further exploration of the diverse applications such as Boyd et al. (2006) and Kadhim et al. (2020). Emerging scholars also contribute to the investigation of how lean accounting integrates with sustainability initiatives and cutting-edge digital technologies.

The paper reviews the landscape of scholarly lean accounting research, featuring selected seminal works and summarizing key findings, theoretical frameworks, and ongoing scholarly debates. It raises questions about who gets recognized as a prominent scholar, methodological complications, and historical precedence.

Finally, by the conducted explorations, some forward-looking discussions have been concluded, in which potential avenues for future research efforts have been identified. This paper has been written with the aim of developing scholarly research about Lean Accounting and its potential transformational impacts within organizational practices.

Methods

Two means of data collection were used to guide this research through so that both the strengths in Bibliometric method and the strength in content analysis method were utilized. This twin approach made it possible to penetrate deeper into the respective research trends of lean accounting and the associated conceptual issues. The broad bibliometric analysis provides a review of the development trends and future prospects of bibliometric research, while the fine-grained content analysis delves deeper into the insight of the core themes and theoretical grounding.

1. Bibliometric Analysis

Bibliometric analysis provides a powerful tool that offers deeper insights to researchers regarding tendencies and patterns in a particular area of investigation. Scrutiny of publication data to reveal emerging topics, leading authors, and the overall intellectual landscape of this research domain. In this method, These capabilities can be utilised to analyse trends within the research on lean accounting (LA), with the viewpoint of the co-occurrence of keywords, authorship patterns, and chronological evolution. It has to be said that keywords have the clusters with growing frequency which may refer to emerging research trajectories. When such research, which is multidisciplinary or interdisciplinary in characteristic features, is underlined, then the interrelationship between the clusters of several disciplines is evident. On the other hand, it is the absence of some given keywords or their relations to each other that might point to some research gaps (Small, 1973).

In this study, textual data analysis was applied to information drawn from titles and abstracts, the chronology of which was traced. This approach observes the frequency, distribution, and location of specific terms or phrases within publication titles and abstracts. Helps track change in research topics and the shift in research focus over time (Leydesdorff & Milojević, 2015). By monitoring the frequency of selected terms in different periods, it is possible to get an idea of how topics, research in this case, have developed to reveal the change in focus.

This study is presenting a review of the academic literature dealing with lean accounting. It has found relevant research in the Scopus database using specific keywords such as “accounting”, “auditing”, “costs”, and “finance”, combined with “lean”. The application applies to the titles, keywords, and abstract. This search is restricted only to these areas of study: “Business and Management”, “Accounting”, “Economics”, “Econometrics”, and “Finance”. This is done with the aim to exclude studies that were carried out and applied to methods of ongoing data collection until 2024. On this basis, in total, 112 studies and publications are included up to the date of March 26, 2024. Processed data was done with the help of VOSviewer software for bibliometric analysis, which is very user-friendly and versatile and thus leaves tools like CiteSpace behind. VOSviewer software is one of the most valuable tools in regard to advanced visualization and analytical functions used for checking the trend of research and scholarly network analysis. The research identifies VOSviewer as one of the most frequently utilised tools among researchers as one of the most frequently utilised tools among researchers. since their performance in the measurement tool and performance measuring feature, which enhances analysing in research, prevails.

2. Content Analysis Data

The research was intended to provide a contemporary perspective, perhaps a view much more qualitative than that on which quantitative bibliometric analysis often focuses: the core themes and core theoretical underpinnings in the field of lean accounting. o understand this, content analysis was employed as a way to explore the meaning and context of existing research more deeply in a qualitative manner.

The process was initiated with a thorough literature review, identified via bibliometric analysis. In particular, “reviews” or the same forms of wording were sought, bearing in mind the contribution they make in synthesizing current knowledge that shapes the intellectual landscape of agile accounting. This preliminary search resulted in 11 studies, from which 10 were critically selected since these are directly related to key concepts and applications, as illustrated in Table 1.

These studies were retained due to their high relevance and were subsequently subjected to an in-depth analysis using a thematic approach. A search for recurrent themes and patterns across the literature looks at basic tenets and conceptual frameworks of lean accounting, specific methodologies or tools, and real-world case studies that illustrate successful applications. In this thematic analysis, the aim was to provide a comprehensive understanding of this realm, through not only the quantitative trends but also the factors indicating why and how agile accounting research emerges. It would be correct to underline that the works of Bellisario and Pavlov (2018), Hadid, and Afshin Mansouri (2014) provide very deep and clear information concerning the theoretical base of lean accounting on the example of its relations to lean thinking and value stream accounting. Meanwhile, in relation to these steps, scholarly works from Enoch (2013) and Fonou-Dumbio and Nomlala (2022) paid more attention to the practical applications of agile accounting and gave emphasis to the impact such an approach may bring about organizational performance and efficiency. Studies by Ruiz-de-Arbolo-Lopez et al. (2013) and Lande et al. (2022) presented individual lean accounting methods and tools proving practical usefulness as a solution for better cost management and informed decision support. Finally, case studies, like those presented by Fullerton et al., (2014), have a real successful agile accounting application, its main success factors, and challenges needing to be faced by organizations.

Thus, with the help of content analysis, an attempt is being made for the integration of these areas, which are hugely diverse in this roof, so that their all-encompassing and deep-rooted look at the current status of lean accounting is made available. This qualitative approach served as an

important complement to the quantitative insights retrieved from the bibliometric analysis, ultimately leading towards an enhanced understanding of this dynamic and important field.

Table 1. The Studies Included in Content Analysis

N°	Author/years	Title	Time frame	Purpose of the study
01	Ruiz-de-Arbulo-Lopez, P. (2013)	Lean manufacturing; Costing the value stream	1980-2012	A review of relevant literature addressing the obstacles of cost accounting methods in waste-free manufacturing and Value Stream Costing (VSC)
02	Hadid., and Afshin Mansouri, S. (2014)	The lean-performance relationship in service, A theoretical model	1993 – 2013	The correlation between waste-free practices and organizational performance in the service sector.
03	Cochran, D. S. (2012)	The Need for a Systems Approach to Enhance and Sustain Lean	1910 – 2007	Enhancing and supporting waste-free manufacturing practices through the design of Collective Systems Design (CSD)
04	Medeiros, H. D. S. et al. (2017)	The use of costing methods in lean manufacturing	1994 – 2014	Analysing qualitative and quantitative data from research articles focusing on the use of cost estimation methods (ABC, TDABC, and VSC) in industries adopting waste-free manufacturing principles
05	Lande, M., Seth, D., and Shrivastava, R. L. (2022)	Application of graph-theoretic approach for the evaluation of lean-six-sigma (LSS) critical-success-factors (CSFs)	/	Analysing qualitative data in the form of critical success factors of Lean Six Sigma (LSS-CSFs)
06	Alves, R. F. et al. (2022)	Lean accounting; a structured literature review	1996-2020	Analysing qualitative and quantitative data from research articles focusing on Lean Accounting (LA)
07	Ali S. B et al. (2021)	Lean Accounting System: Importance and Successful Implementation	1994-2019	Reviewing literature related to Lean Accounting to identify factors contributing to its successful implementation
08	Stronczek, A. (2023).	Barriers of Lean Accounting Implementation in Polish Enterprises: DEMATEL Approach	1996 – 2022	Reviewing existing research publications on barriers to implementing Lean Accounting in manufacturing companies
09	Fullerton, R. R., Kennedy, F. A. Widener, S. K. (2014)	Journal of Operations Management: Lean manufacturing and firm performance: The incremental contribution of lean management accounting practices	2014	To provide empirical, scientific proof that Lean Manufacturing (LM) works better when it is paired with Lean Management Accounting (LMA).
10	Arora, V., & Soral, G. (2017)	Conceptual Issues in Lean Accounting A Review	/	To compare and contrast Lean Accounting with traditional practices, highlighting their key differences and potential benefits of adopting a lean approach

Source: Compiled by the authors

Results and Discussion

This part includes the results and their discussion for bibliometric analysis and content analysis

1. Bibliometric Analysis Results

This section provides the derived results of bibliometric analysis from lean accounting research. The analysis is an in-depth study of terms derived from both bibliographic and textual data in an attempt to identify the dominating themes, trends, and research gaps. Attempting to provide quantitative trends a human face and complementing them with more qualitative nuances, this analysis will try to provide insights into the trajectory of the field. It will also seek to uncover hidden relationships and influential concepts that could contribute to the scholarly discourse and lead the way for future research endeavours.

Key word co-occurrences

The present broad bibliometric study, therefore, investigates the research patterns on lean accounting with the intention of finding key themes, research directions, and gaps in this area. It focuses on the co-occurrence of author keywords and their temporal development, clustering into five distinct clusters within the agile accounting research landscape. Table 1 shows the frequency of occurrences of these key words in the literature, with at least two occurrences in the studies. The cluster provides an individual lens into the dynamic character of research trends in the domain and thus provides valuable information for Agile Accounting Research and Practice to researchers, practitioners, and policymakers.

Cluster 1: data analysis and development of accounting systems. This grouping focuses on data analysis sophisticated methods, which are worthy for application and development within the accounting systems of lean organizations. The release of search keywords “data envelopment analysis”, “structural equation modelling”, “cost accounting”, and “performance measurement” has, for example, signalled increasing interest in data-driven decisions and performance management (Boyd et al., 2006). Such terms as “operations management” and “corporate finance”, when they appear, therefore do so with core accounting concepts. They indicate an awareness of a growing need for integration between accounting and other functional areas within lean companies. The relative low occurrence of keywords in relation to some industries, however, does not lead us to believe that some application of data analysis techniques in the field of lean accounting by some industries is not underrepresented in academic literature.

Cluster 2: lean implementation and productivity improvement. This cluster highlights how the lean principle is put to practical implementation in organizations for the improvement of productivity. For instance, more attention is focused on strategic and holistic lean implementation with the help of keywords like “lean strategy”, “continuous improvement”, “material flow cost accounting”, and “theory of constraints” (Baggaley & Maskell, 2003). However, the fact that the occurrence of keywords related to certain lean tools and techniques was infrequent brings out a research gap that would question the effectiveness and overcoming implementation barriers faced by service firms. This, therefore, requires more inquiry on the applicability of lean tools, such as Kanban systems, within the organizational context, and challenges likely to be met during implementation.

Cluster 3: strategic management and decision-making. This cluster is anchored in the strategic role of management accounting in the support of decision-making within a lean organization. In fact, these keywords such as “lean thinking”, “information technology”, “strategic planning” and “financial performance” really carry along with them changes towards strategic thinking and technology in enhancing decisions' quality. The above can be considered to co-occur strongly with each other, though literature focusing on the description of specific decision-making models and frameworks used within lean accounting has yet to be found. Future research could focus on the development of tuned decision support models made to fit the special needs of lean organizations.

Cluster 4: research methods and literature review. This cluster will try to justify the adopted methods in lean accounting research – ranging from survey-based activities to structural equation modelling. On the other hand, there would appear to be a growing interest in the theme of “climate change”, presumably indicating a growing concern with where lean accounting meets environmental sustainability. This further advances the argument that there is a need for deeper understanding of the lean accounting phenomena using qualitative and mixed-method research. Future research could focus on experiential views from lean accounting practitioners or adopt a mixed-method approach for a clearer understanding of what lean accounting offers in terms of implications for employee engagement.

Cluster 5: cultural and contextual factors. This cluster will deal with organizational culture and contextual elements that influence lean accounting practices. Key words such as “organizational culture, Japanese management accounting, Qualitative research, and case study” say

a growing interest in developing understanding about how various cultural and contextual factors shape up the adoption and effectiveness of lean accounting. Additional research is warranted to better explain these associations and investigate the impacts of these on lean accounting practices across varied settings.

Table 2. Co-Occurrence of Keywords

N°	Label	Cluster	Occurrence	Avg. pub. year	N°	Label	Cluster	Occurrence	Avg. pub. Year
1	lean accounting	1	24	2016	31	theory of constraint	2	2	2017
2	lean production	1	20	2016	32	lean strategy	2	2	2017
3	lean manufacturing	1	16	2014	33	Benchmarking	2	2	2021
4	cost accounting	1	12	2013	34	continuous improvement	2	2	2022
5	activity-based costing	1	10	2013	35	lean management	3	7	2016
6	value stream	1	10	2015	36	Finance	3	7	2021
7	Costs	1	7	2014	37	total quality management	3	5	2016
8	agile manufacturing systems	1	6	2013	38	financial performance	3	4	2018
9	performance measurement	1	5	2016	39	decision making	3	3	2011
10	value stream costing	1	5	2017	40	structural equation modelling	3	3	2018
11	time-driven activity-based costing	1	5	2017	41	Surveys	3	3	2018
12	Industry	1	4	2010	42	strategic planning	3	2	2009
13	data envelopment analysis	1	2	2010	43	lean thinking	3	2	2017
14	accounting system	1	2	2011	44	information technology	3	2	2020
15	operations management	1	2	2011	45	Manager	3	2	2018
16	corporate finance	1	2	2013	46	accounting practices	3	2	2019
17	Performance	1	2	2022	47	six sigma	4	7	2016
18	contingency theory	1	2	2008	48	small and medium enterprises	4	5	2018
19	supply chains	1	2	2014	49	lean six sigma	4	4	2020
20	box score	1	2	2017	50	process improvement	4	3	2014
21	competitive advantage	1	2	2021	51	Accounting	4	2	2020
22	management accounting	2	16	2017	52	Audit	4	2	2023
23	Lean	2	11	2019	53	climate change	4	2	2023
24	Throughput	2	5	2016	54	design/methodology/approach	4	2	2023
25	Manufacture	2	4	2013	55	organizational culture	5	3	2020
26	Production	2	3	2012	56	Jordan	5	3	2022
27	traditional management	2	3	2015	57	Japanese management accounting	5	2	2008
28	case study	2	3	2018	58	qualitative research	5	2	2019
29	material flow cost accounting	2	2	2016	59	Kaizen costing	5	2	2013
30	productivity improvement	2	2	2016	60	target costing	5	2	2013
					61	forensic accounting	5	2	2023

Source: Compiled by the authors

Co-occurrence of textual data: The objective of this bibliometric analysis is to identify the trends in Lean Accounting research, both textual data and their temporal growth. Four distinct clusters are presented in Table 3, representing groupings that cover common occurrences of text statements six or more times, offering insights into the evolving landscape of agile accounting research.

Cluster 1: Originality and Value. Seem to be focusing much more on foundational elements of lean accounting research, in particular, conceptual frameworks and methodological approaches. Key words like “originality value”, “design methodology approach”, and “practical implication” seem to be filled with interest to further investigate the innovative approach or methods within the subject of lean accounting. The critical nature with which words like challenge, research limitations implication, and difficulty are used is suggestive of critically investigating the challenges and limitations facing the implementation of lean accounting practices. In addition, some terms such as “activity-based costing” and the other “traditional accounting” do, in fact, suggest some form of comparing analysis of traditional lean accounting practices in a manner that shows willingness to

know how lean accounting is done in comparison to differences and benefits obtained. The average publication year of the cluster keywords varies from 2013 to 2018, which shows a recent attention to the conceptual frameworks refinement and methodological challenges within lean accounting research.

Table 3. Co-Occurrence of Textual Data

N°	Label	Cluster	Occurrence	Avg. pub. Year	N°	Label	Cluster	Occurrence	Avg. pub. Year
1	originality value	1	13	2017	33	Recommendation	2	6	2021
2	design methodology approach	1	12	2018	34	Type	2	6	2015
3	Challenge	1	10	2016	35	lean company	3	12	2016
4	Author	1	9	2018	36	lean transformation	3	9	2015
5	Knowledge	1	9	2014	37	Theory	3	9	2017
6	Practitioner	1	9	2016	38	Example	3	8	2015
7	Difference	1	8	2017	39	accountant	3	7	2017
8	practical implication	1	8	2017	40	Book	3	7	2017
9	research limitations implication	1	8	2018	41	integration	3	7	2019
10	Researcher	1	8	2017	42	lean principle	3	7	2014
11	activity-based costing	1	7	2013	43	Person	3	7	2015
12	Comparison	1	7	2015	44	CFO	3	6	2014
13	Lack	1	6	2016	45	continuous improvement	3	6	2020
14	traditional accounting	1	6	2015	46	Goal	3	6	2017
15	Academic	1	5	2016	47	Mean	3	6	2012
16	Difficulty	1	5	2014	48	Step	3	6	2014
17	further research	1	5	2015	49	decision maker	3	5	2019
18	Lean	2	22	2016	50	demand	3	5	2013
19	Finance	2	14	2018	51	lean organization	3	5	2019
20	Product	2	14	2014	52	management accounting	4	24	2014
21	Development	2	12	2017	53	Change	4	19	2013
22	Efficiency	2	11	2016	54	strategy	4	19	2014
23	Service	2	9	2019	55	evidence	4	11	2015
24	Sigma	2	9	2016	56	relationship	4	11	2019
25	Project	2	8	2016	57	Extent	4	9	2014
26	Work	2	8	2017	58	Relation	4	9	2014
27	Condition	2	7	2014	59	competition	4	8	2014
28	Customer	2	7	2013	60	structural equation model	4	7	2019
29	importance	2	7	2015	61	association	4	6	2017
30	accounting process	2	6	2013	62	lean strategy	4	6	2018
31	competitive advantage	2	6	2018	63	Nature	4	6	2013
32	Form	2	6	2015	64	survey data	4	6	2019

Source: Compiled by the authors

Cluster 2: Lean Company Transformation. This dynamic trend denotes more of the pragmatic application of Lean Accounting and effects on organizational performance. Keywords such as “lean”, “finance”, “product”, and “efficiency” strike to focus on the investigation of applied lean principles within an array of organizational settings, with a specific interest in financial management, product development, and operational efficiency. The consideration is evident in the kind of words like “service”, “customer” and “competitive advantage” that this question posits in regards to customer-centric approaches and strategic considerations of lean practices. The average publication year of the keywords in this cluster extends from the year 2013 to 2021. It is an average that suggests constant research interest in practical applications and performance outcomes of lean accounting.

Cluster 3: Management Accounting and Lean Principles. More directly, this transformational trend is pointed to organizational and cultural aspects of lean accounting. The key phrases “lean company”, “lean transformation” and “continuous improvement” bring out the fact that it is the organizational culture, change management, and the soft side of the change process.

The presence of the terms “integration”, “lean principle” and “decision maker” used here would point to the emphasis on both organizational problems related to integration and decision-making under lean conditions. The average year of publication of the keywords in this cluster lies within 2012 to 2020, implying that the interest of researchers in organizational transformation and change management within the lean accounting milieu remains sustained.

Cluster 4: Lean Strategy and Management. This cadence strategy now critically scrutinizes into the major and strategic corners of lean accounting. Words such as “management accounting”, “strategy” and “structural equation model” bring about some of the keywords that are synonymous with, in terms of meaning, strategic decision support and performance measurement in a lean organization. Discussion of the terms “change”, “evidence” and “relationship” is an obvious indication of the consideration of both change management strategies and the evidence-based practices attributed to Lean Accounting. The average publication year of the keywords in this cluster ranges from the year 2013 to 2019. This indicates that current interest in strategic management research and EBP in lean accounting contexts is still relevant.

2. Content analysis results

Within the field of lean accounting research, Content analysis was performed as an adjunct to the larger bibliometric analysis. This approach allowed us to delve more into the thematic core of lean accounting beyond mere quantitative trends, aiming to understand how researchers conceptualize and operationalize lean accounting principles within various organizational contexts. Four major research themes were identified, shedding light on the evolution of the field and its current state:

A. Foundations and conceptual frameworks of lean accounting: Early research in lean accounting focuses on creating a strong theoretical base. Studies by Bellisario and Pavlov (2018) emphasized that lean accounting concentrates on core principles linked with value stream and lean thinking. These pioneering works discussed the probable benefits and challenges of implementing lean accounting in organizations and served as a basis for future empirical studies and practical applications of this new accounting approach. Notable studies in this area include those by Kennedy and Widener (2008) and Woehrlé and Abu Shadi (2010).

B. Practical studies on the application and effects of lean accounting: As the field has matured, research shifted to examining how lean accounting is effectively applied and its impact on various organizational contexts. Studies by Enoch (2013) and Fonou-Doumbio and Nomlala (2022) demonstrated that lean accounting influences organizational performance and efficiency, showing that it can reduce costs, increase productivity, and enhance customer satisfaction. These studies highlighted the role of organizational culture and change management strategies as crucial for the successful implementation of lean accounting. Insights from these studies assist practitioners in identifying and overcoming barriers to adopting lean accounting in their businesses, and in developing action plans to increase the chances of successful implementation. Ultimately, the systematic and effective use of lean accounting contributes to improvements in both financial and operational performance.

C. Special techniques and tools for agile accounting: Ruiz-de-Arbolo-Lopez et al. (2013) and Lande et al. (2022) in their studies investigated different techniques and tools such as value stream costing (VSC) and graph theory approach applied to LSS critical success factors (CSFs) of accounting in a simple accounting perspective. VSC simplifies accounting, while graph theory modelling of LSS-CSFs can identify qualitative critical success factors and use them when measuring and comparing performance. There are also valuable contributions from Cerchione et al. (2018) and de Freitas et al. (2017). Using these, accounting and finance practitioners can reduce production and resource usage in accounting using VSC. In accounting to measure performance and compare LSS environments with respect to improved performance, graph theory is used.

D. Case studies and practical applications of lean accounting: Fullerton et al. (2014) demonstrate that the practical application of lean accounting in manufacturing is pivotal for reducing waste, enhancing efficiency, and driving profitability, underscoring that successful integration relies on top management commitment, a flexible organisational culture, and rigorous

training. While challenges such as resistance to change and skill deficits persist, they can be effectively mitigated through robust strategic planning; consequently, the study provides a clear roadmap for practitioners to navigate implementation complexities with a tailored strategy that leads to systemic improvements in financial and operating efficiency. Furthermore, the use of content analysis illuminates the rich tapestry of lean accounting research bridging its theoretical underpinnings with diverse methodological approaches to provide a more nuanced and comprehensive viewpoint that complements quantitative bibliometric perspectives on the field's current development and status.

Conclusion

The study concludes that Lean Accounting is no longer merely an alternative to deficient traditional cost accounting systems; rather, it has evolved into a sophisticated strategic model that enhances organisational sustainability and success. Empirical evidence confirms its pivotal role in reducing costs, boosting productivity, and supporting effective and transparent decision-making. Despite challenges hindering implementation most notably a lack of professional expertise, resistance to change, and the necessity of aligning with prevailing accounting standards.

Lean Accounting remains a flexible framework for navigating market fluctuations and meeting customer demands. Research in this field has shifted from conceptual theorising to practical applications integrated with modern management tools (such as the Balanced Scorecard and the Theory of Constraints), with an increasing focus on the role of organisational culture in sustaining this transformation. Future prospects offer promising opportunities to link Lean Accounting with emerging technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data analytics, while expanding its application within the service, healthcare, and public sectors.

Finally, the study recommends that future research should focus on the behavioural and environmental dimensions of Lean Accounting and ensure its effectiveness amidst globalisation and diverse organisational cultures, thereby ensuring long-term value creation in a dynamic business environment.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that no potential conflicts of interest in publishing this work.

Publisher's Note: European Academy of Sciences Ltd remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Disclaimer: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of European Academy of Sciences Ltd and/or the editor(s). European Academy of Sciences Ltd and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

References

- Ali, S. B, Khan, Z. S., Shah, Z. A., & Ahmad, Muhammad. (2021). Lean Accounting System: Importance and Successful Implementation. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government* Vol, 27(3), 2388. <https://doi.org/10.47750/cibg.2021.27.03.293>
- Alves, R. F., Vieira Neto, J., de Mattos Nascimento, D. L., de Andrade, F. E., Tortorella, G. L., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2022). Lean accounting: a structured literature review. *The TQM Journal*, 34(6), 1547-1571. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-06-2021-0185>
- Arora, V., & Soral, G. (2017). Conceptual issues in lean accounting: A review. *IUP Journal of Accounting Research & Audit Practices*, 16(3). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3214401>
- Baggaley, B., & Maskell, B. H. (2003). Value stream management for lean companies, Part II. *Journal of Cost Management*, 17(3), 24-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02635571311324124>
- Bellisario, A., & Pavlov, A. (2018). Performance management practices in lean manufacturing organizations: a systematic review of research evidence. *Production Planning & Control*, 29(5), 367-385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2018.1432909>
- Boyd, D. T., Kronk, L. A., & Boyd, S. C. (2006). Measuring the effects of lean manufacturing systems on financial accounting metrics using data envelopment analysis. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, (3, Iss. 4), 40-54. https://www.businessperspectives.org/images/pdf/applications/publishing/templates/article/assets/1427/imfi_en_2006_04_Boyd.pdf

- Cerchione, R., Singh, R., Centobelli, P., & Shabani, A. (2018). Food cold chain management: From a structured literature review to a conceptual framework and research agenda. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 29(3), 792-821. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-01-2017-0007>
- Cochran, D. S. (2012). The need for a systems approach to enhance and sustain lean. *Lean accounting: Best practices for sustainable integration*, 263-297. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119196808.ch11>
- de Freitas, J. G., Costa, H. G., & Ferraz, F. T. (2017). Impacts of Lean Six Sigma over organizational sustainability: A survey study. *Journal of cleaner production*, 156, 262-275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.054>
- Enoch, O. K. (2013). Lean accounting and lean business philosophy in Nigeria: an exploratory research. *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management*, 2(7). https://www.ejournalofbusiness.org/archive/vol2no7/vol2no7_8.pdf
- Fonou-Dombeu, N. C., & Nomlala, B. C. (2022). A Comparative Analysis of Implementation of Lean Accounting in Manufacturing and Healthcare Sectors. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Management*, 10(2), 116-136. <https://doi.org/10.15604/ejbm.2022.10.02.003>
- Fullerton, R. R., Kennedy, F. A. and Widener, S. K. (2014), Lean manufacturing and firm performance: The incremental contribution of lean management accounting practices. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32: 414-428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2014.09.002>
- Hadid, W., & Afshin Mansouri, S. (2014). The lean-performance relationship in services: a theoretical model. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 34(6), 750-785. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-02-2013-0080>
- Kadhim, H. K., Kadhim, A. A. H., & Azeez, K. A. (2020). The Integration of Lean Accounting and Activity-Based Public Budgeting for Improving a firm's Performance. *Integration*, 11(5). https://www.ijcc.net/images/Vol11iss6/11622_Kadhim_2020_E_R.pdf
- Lande, M., Seth, D., & Shrivastava, R. L. (2022). Application of graph-theoretic approach for the evaluation of lean-six-sigma (LSS) critical-success-factors (CSFs) facilitating quality-audits in Indian small & medium enterprises (SMEs). *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 39(8), 1845-1868. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-05-2019-0166>
- Leydesdorff, L., & Milojević, S. (2015). The citation impact of German sociology journals: Some problems with the use of scientometric indicators in journal and research evaluations. *Soziale Welt*, 193-204. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0038-6073-2015-2-193>
- Maskell, B. H., Baggaley, B., & Grasso, L. (2011). *Practical lean accounting: a proven system for measuring and managing the lean enterprise*. CRC Press. Boca Raton. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b11454>
- McVay, G., Kennedy, F., & Fullerton, R. (2013). *Accounting in the lean enterprise: providing simple, practical, and decision-relevant information*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b14867>
- Medeiros, H. D. S., Santana, A. F. B., & Guimarães, L. D. S. (2017). The use of costing methods in lean manufacturing industries: a literature review. *Gestão & Produção*, 24, 395-406. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0104-530X2183-16>
- Ruiz-de-Arbulo-Lopez, P., Fortuny-Santos, J., & Cuatrecasas-Arbós, L. (2013). Lean manufacturing: costing the value stream. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 113(5), 647-668. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571311324124>
- Small, H. (1973). Co-citation in the scientific literature: A new measure of the relationship between two documents. *Journal of the American Society for information Science*, 24(4), 265-269. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.4630240406>
- Stenzel, J. (Ed.). (2008). *Lean accounting: best practices for sustainable integration*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119196808>
- Stroncsek, A. (2023). Barriers of Lean Accounting Implementation in Polish Enterprises: DEMATEL Approach. *Sustainability*, 15(15), 12008. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151512008>
- Woehrle, S. L., & Abou-Shady, L. (2010). Using dynamic value stream mapping and lean accounting box scores to support lean implementation. *American Journal of Business Education (AJBE)*, 3(8), 67-76. <https://doi.org/10.19030/ajbe.v3i8.472>

